IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.224 OF 2005

Pravin Shrikant Girkar ...Applicant

Versus

State of Maharashtra & Anr. ...Respondents

ALONG WITH

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.225 OF 2005

Sudhir Sugandh More ...Applicant

Versus

State of Maharashtra & Anr. ...Respondents

.....

Mr.Santosh R.Dubey for Applicant.

Mr.V.B.Konde-Deshmukh, A.P.P. for Respondent No.1.

.....

CORAM: A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.

FEBRUARY 3, 2005.

P.C.

1. The apprehension of the Applicants is that although the proceedings are not finally concluded, the concerned Officer is insisting that the Applicants should execute some bond. I find no

reason to accept this apprehension because it is well settled that person can be required to execute bond only after final orders are passed by the Authority after complying necessary procedure. Applicants claim that they have already filed their replies to the show cause notice. If it is so, the Authorities will examine the stand taken in the replies and pass appropriate orders, as may be permissible by law.

2. All questions are left open, to be decided in the said proceedings.

3. Applications disposed of with the above observations.

A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.